WEP Warwick Evans Publishing

International Journal of Global Economics and Management

ISSN: 3005-9690 (Print), ISSN: 3005-8090 (Online) | Volume 9, Number 1, Year 2025
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v9n1.05
Journal homepage: https://ijgem.org

# Policy-Driven and Corporate Market Behavior: Mechanism and Pathway Analysis

Shijie Shao

School of Economics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610065, China

## ABSTRACT

In modern market economies, policies, as the core tool for government regulation of economic operations, have a close interactive relationship with corporate market behavior. This paper examines the inherent relationship between policy-driven and corporate market behavior. Through theoretical deduction and logical analysis, it systematically explores the core mechanisms and transmission pathways of their interaction. The research shows that policy-driven influences corporate decision-making through three core mechanisms: signal transmission, resource allocation, and constraint incentives. Its transmission pathway encompasses both direct regulation and indirect guidance, and is constrained by factors such as policy characteristics, corporate attributes, and the external environment. Furthermore, corporate responses to policies are not passive acceptance, but rather proactive adaptations based on their own interests and market judgment. This analysis aims to clarify the logic of the interaction between policy and corporate market behavior, providing theoretical references for optimizing policy design and enhancing the effectiveness of policy guidance on corporate behavior. It also offers insights for companies to more accurately grasp policy guidance and regulate their market behavior.

## KEYWORDS

Policy-driven; Corporate market behavior; Mechanism of action; Transmission pathway; Market regulation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a market economy, enterprises, as micro-entities, are subject to both spontaneous factors such as market supply and demand and industry competition, and their market behavior (including investment decisions, product pricing, and technological innovation) is deeply dependent on various government policies and controls. From macro-fiscal and monetary policies to meso-industrial and regional development policies, and finally to micro-market regulation and tax incentives, the policy system intervenes in the market in diverse ways. This not only corrects market failures and imperfections, but also serves as a key force in guiding enterprise behavior, maintaining order, and boosting economic development. With the transformation of economic structures and the increasing complexity of the market environment, the interaction between policy and enterprise behavior has exhibited new characteristics: policy tools have shifted from traditional administrative orders to economic incentives such as fiscal and tax subsidies, and information guidance such as the release of industrial plans, significantly increasing their targetedness and flexibility. Enterprises are no longer passively following policies, but are responding in a differentiated manner based on their own strategies and resource endowments, even proactively adapting through compliant innovations such as optimizing production layouts and adjusting R&D directions. Against this backdrop, clarifying the internal mechanisms and transmission pathways of policy-driven enterprise behavior is a core issue for understanding market dynamics and improving regulatory effectiveness. Existing research often

focuses on the impact of single policies on specific corporate behaviors or empirical evidence of policy effects. However, it lacks a systematic analysis of core issues such as "how policies translate into adjustments in corporate behavior" and "the paths through which different policies influence each other." This approach fails to develop a comprehensive theoretical framework encompassing the entire chain of policy and corporate behavior, often overlooking the subjective initiative of enterprises and failing to capture the full picture of their interaction. Based on this, this article, drawing on theoretical analysis and moving away from case studies and data, begins with conceptual definition, analyzing policy-driven mechanisms, transmission pathways, and influencing factors, and offers recommendations for optimization. This not only improves the theoretical framework for the relationship between the two, but also provides insights for policymakers to construct a scientific system and for enterprises to effectively respond to policies, with both theoretical and practical significance.

## 2. DEFINITION OF POLICY-DRIVEN AND CORPORATE MARKET BEHAVIOR

### 2.1. Connotation and Types of Policy-Driven Behavior

Policy-driven behavior is the process by which governments, through the formulation and implementation of public policies and the use of various tools, guide, regulate, or constrain market operations, resource allocation, and the behavior of micro-entities to achieve economic and social development goals. Essentially, it is a crucial means for the government to compensate for market failures and correct market deviations. Its core lies in leveraging policy signals and resource allocation to alter businesses' external constraints and profit expectations, thereby influencing their market decisions and behavioral choices. Policy-driven policies can be categorized into three types based on how they influence corporate behavior: First, mandatory policy-driven policies, primarily in the form of laws, regulations, and administrative orders, establish rigid standards for market access, environmental emissions, and safe production, forcing businesses to operate within these frameworks. Violations result in fines, production suspensions, and even license revocation. Second, incentive-driven policies leverage economic instruments such as fiscal subsidies, tax exemptions, and credit support to reduce the costs of specific corporate behaviors or increase returns, guiding businesses to proactively align with policy guidance. Voluntary responsiveness is a prerequisite. Third, guiding policy-driven policies, relying on development plans, industrial policies, and market information releases, convey development trends and support directions to businesses, helping them mitigate decision-making risks. These policies lack mandatory nature and offer no direct economic incentives, but rather work by influencing corporate expectations.

### 2.2. Scope and Characteristics of Enterprise Market Behavior

Enterprise market behavior refers to the series of operational decisions and actions taken by an enterprise to achieve profitability, expand market share, and achieve long-term development, in response to changes in the internal and external environment. These actions encompass areas such as production, sales, investment, innovation, and competition. Specifically, these actions can be categorized into four core categories: production and operational behavior, which involves product pricing, capacity adjustments, and structural optimization, and directly impacts short-term competitiveness and profitability; investment decision-making behavior, which includes investment choices in fixed assets, technical equipment, and R&D projects, and determines long-term development potential; technological innovation behavior, which encompasses technology R&D, product and process improvements, and business model innovation, and is key to transformation and upgrading; and market competition behavior, which refers to the pursuit of market advantage through strategies such as differentiation, pricing, and collaboration. Corporate market behavior has three key

characteristics: First, it is goal-oriented. All actions are centered around operational objectives. Whether it's short-term price adjustments or long-term innovation, the core goal is to increase profits, expand market share, or enhance risk resilience. Second, it is dynamic and adaptable. It adapts to changes in external policies, market supply and demand, industry competition, internal resources, and governance structures to adapt to environmental challenges [1]. Third, it is rational decision-making. As rational economic entities, companies use cost-benefit analysis as their core, weighing benefits and risks to select the optimal solution. When faced with policies, they will consider their own interests in determining whether and how to respond.

# 3. CORE MECHANISMS OF POLICY-DRIVEN INFLUENCE ON CORPORATE MARKET BEHAVIOR

## 3.1. Signal Transmission Mechanism

Signal transmission is the foundation of policy-driven influence on corporate behavior. Its core is that policies release clear and stable guidance signals, helping companies reduce decision-making uncertainty. In complex market environments, companies find it difficult to predict trends. However, industrial planning and regulatory policies are authoritative and forward-looking, providing "official signals"—for example, policies supporting new energy sources imply increased demand and restrictions on high-energy consumption, while antitrust policies convey the need to regulate competition. After interpreting these signals, businesses will make targeted adjustments: When they encounter supportive signals, they increase investment in related areas, such as expanding new energy production capacity and expanding upstream and downstream of the industrial chain [2]. When they encounter restrictive signals, they proactively avoid violations, such as reducing high-polluting production capacity. Policy stability is also crucial. Frequent changes can cause businesses to postpone investment and adopt conservative strategies, while long-term stability facilitates the development of long-term innovation plans.

## 3.2. Resource Allocation Mechanism

Policies influence business behavior by regulating resource flows and costs. In terms of direct supply, fiscal subsidies and tax exemptions provide resources to aligned businesses. For example, tax reductions for small and micro enterprises can increase funding for production and technological upgrades, while subsidies for leading agricultural industrialization enterprises can enhance their market competitiveness. In terms of cost regulation, low-interest loans and land concessions reduce the cost of acquiring resources. For example, low-interest loans for high-tech enterprises encourage R&D investment, while land concessions in key regions can attract businesses to cluster and optimize industrial layout. In terms of flow constraints, access restrictions and capacity controls can prevent resources from flowing into inefficient and high-consumption sectors. For example, strict approval processes in energy-intensive industries can attract capital to high-efficiency and environmentally friendly industries. When resources are readily available, businesses are more motivated to invest and innovate. When resources are limited, they need to adjust their business strategies, ultimately optimizing market resource allocation and industrial structure.

## 3.3. Constraint and Incentive Mechanism

This mechanism uses rewards and penalties to clarify the boundaries of corporate behavior. On the incentive side, material incentives such as tax credits and energy-saving and emission-reduction subsidies directly increase profits. Certification as a "high-tech enterprise" or "green factory" can also bring brand premiums and facilitate financing. On the constraint side, direct penalties such as fines and production suspensions compound indirect losses such as brand damage and loss of partnerships. For example, after a polluting company is fined, in addition to paying the fine, it may also lose

environmentally conscious consumers and business orders, forcing the company to comply. Incentives alone can easily lead to "corporate free riding," while constraints alone can stifle innovation. Only through a coordinated approach of rewards and penalties, ensuring that compliant companies reap tangible benefits and non-compliant companies pay a high price, will companies internalize policy requirements as their daily norms [3].

# 4. TRANSMISSION PATHS OF POLICY-DRIVEN IMPACT ON CORPORATE MARKET BEHAVIOR

## 4.1. Direct Transmission Path: Direct Adaptation of Policy Regulations to Corporate Behavior

The direct transmission path focuses on the directness and authority of policies, eliminating the need for intermediaries. Through clear rules or intervention, businesses are precisely aligned with policy guidance. This primarily applies to mandatory policies and some incentive policies, and is implemented in three ways: Administrative regulation directly defines the boundaries of business behavior through administrative orders, approvals, and industry standards. For example, it sets market access qualifications, production capacity limits, and product quality parameters. For example, businesses that fail to meet access standards are required to upgrade production equipment and optimize management processes to meet them, while businesses with excess capacity are required to proactively reduce production [4]. Legal constraints elevate policy requirements into legal provisions, ensuring their enforcement through state coercion. For example, the Anti-Unfair Competition Law prohibits false advertising and commercial defamation. Businesses of all sizes and industries must adjust their competitive strategies, and violations face fines, suspension of business, and other sanctions, prompting them to proactively integrate legal requirements into their daily operations. Direct incentives guide businesses to proactively adapt through the transfer of benefits. For example, the policy of additional deductions for R&D expenses encourages businesses to standardize R&D accounting and increase investment. New energy vehicle subsidies encourage businesses to focus on core component R&D, achieving a shift from "I have to do it" to "I want to do it."

## 4.2. Indirect Transmission Path: Environmental Optimization and Indirect Adjustment of Corporate Behavior

The indirect transmission path does not directly prescribe specific corporate behavior. Instead, it optimizes the external environment to change corporate decision-making conditions and guide rational adjustments. This indirect and transmissible approach is promoted at three levels: Market environment optimization drives demand for new products through consumer subsidies (such as appliance trade-ins). To seize these opportunities, companies will accelerate product iteration or reduce transaction costs through intellectual property protection and market-oriented reforms, pushing them from low-price competition to technological innovation. Reshaping the industry ecosystem relies on industrial planning to guide corporate agglomeration and digital transformation. For example, industrial parks promote upstream and downstream collaboration. Companies will optimize their supply chain layout. Green production and technical standards will also force the elimination of outdated production capacity. Companies will need to upgrade their processes to adapt to industry trends. Strengthening social supervision through the disclosure of environmental and quality information exposes corporate behavior to the public. Companies that exceed standards face the risk of losing customers. Combined with third-party oversight, such as industry association self-regulation and media exposure, companies are forced to balance economic efficiency with social responsibility and proactively regulate their operations.

# 5. KEY FACTORS AFFECTING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF POLICY-DRIVEN ENTERPRISE MARKET BEHAVIOR

## 5.1. Policy Characteristics: The Fundamental Prerequisite for Driving Effectiveness

The core of policy-driven effectiveness is determined by scientificity, stability, and synergy. These three factors directly impact the clarity of signal transmission, the rationality of resource allocation, and the effectiveness of constraints and incentives. Scientificity requires that policies be rooted in economic laws, market dynamics, and enterprise needs. Prior to formulation, research should be conducted on the needs of enterprises of different sizes to precisely address pain points such as financing difficulties for small and medium-sized enterprises and R&D bottlenecks for innovative enterprises. Tool selection must be tailored to the specific context. Guiding tools such as information releases should be used in areas where market regulation occurs independently, while mandatory and incentive tools should be employed in areas where market failure occurs, such as environmental protection and work safety, to prevent policy inertia. Stability emphasizes policy continuity. Frequent changes in subsidy standards and tax incentives can cause enterprises to postpone long-term investment due to uncertain expectations. Where adjustments are necessary, transition periods should be established to balance stability and dynamism [5]. Synergy requires avoiding cross-sector and multi-level policy conflicts. Inconsistencies should be screened in advance through a policy filing and review mechanism. For example, when supporting the new energy industry, industrial policies should set the direction, fiscal policies should provide subsidies, and financial policies should provide loans, creating a coherent and coordinated synergy.

## 5.2. Enterprise Attributes: Micro-Determinants of Driving Effects

Enterprise attributes lead to significant heterogeneity in policy responses, which is primarily reflected in three aspects. In terms of scale, large enterprises, with their advantages in capital, technology, and distribution channels, can quickly connect with policies and participate in policymaking through industry associations. Small and medium-sized enterprises, however, are resource-constrained and often face difficulties benefiting from environmental protection policies due to high barriers to entry for R&D investment and a lack of supporting funding. They are prone to transition difficulties and even face the risk of operational disruption when faced with environmental protection policies. Regarding governance structure, well-established enterprises should establish policy research positions to effectively interpret and implement policies. If management incentives are aligned with the long-term innovation advocated by policies, policy benefits can be quickly transformed into development momentum. However, imperfect enterprises are prone to missing opportunities due to one-man rule decision-making or ignoring policies due to a short-term profit-oriented approach. In terms of risk appetite, favoring enterprises take the lead in deploying policies under emerging industry policies, while averse enterprises wait for policy clarity and market stability before taking action. Neutral enterprises make a rational cost-benefit trade-off, balancing opportunity capture with risk management.

# 6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OPTIMIZING POLICY-DRIVEN ENTERPRISE MARKET BEHAVIOR

## 6.1. Improving the Scientific Accuracy of Policymaking

Scientific and accurate policymaking is the foundation for effective policy-driven enterprise behavior. This requires three key areas of focus: Initial research should strengthen government-enterprise communication mechanisms, integrating economic principles with national strategies to identify enterprise pain points. Involving third parties such as research institutes and industry associations

should enhance the objectivity of research. In selecting tools, adhere to a "differentiated approach." In areas of market failure, prioritize laws, regulations, and administrative regulations. In areas of guidance and incentives, combine fiscal subsidies, tax incentives, and development plans. In areas of market self-regulation, minimize intervention and provide only informational support. Content design should clearly define objectives, scope, deadlines, and responsible parties. Differentiated policies should be formulated based on enterprise size and industry, avoiding vague language [6].

### 6.2. Strengthening the Stability and Coordination of Policy Implementation

Policy implementation must ensure three key aspects: First, ensure strict implementation of policies after they are issued. Any necessary adjustments must be publicly announced in advance and accompanied by a transition period. A tracking and evaluation mechanism should be established, but frequent changes should be avoided. Second, ensure clear responsibilities across departments and levels, regularly coordinate progress, and establish a policy filing and review system to avoid conflicts and "multiple management." This ensures a balance between central policy consistency and local flexibility. Third, ensure dynamic adjustments through timely optimization of policy tools and processes through evaluation and enterprise feedback, such as streamlining complex applications and increasing penalties for violations, thus balancing stability and flexibility.

### 6.3. Improving Enterprise Policy Responsiveness and Initiative

Three aspects are needed to promote enterprise policy adaptation: Regarding policy interpretation, key information should be disseminated through multiple channels, such as official websites, new government media, and information sessions. One-on-one consultation should be provided to SMEs, and industry associations and professional organizations should be encouraged to participate in policy interpretation. Regarding internal development, enterprises should be guided to improve their governance structures, establish policy research positions, support talent recruitment and development, and enhance financial risk management, thereby strengthening resource support capabilities. Regarding long-term perspectives, incentive policies should be tilted toward long-term innovation and green development, market mechanisms should be improved to enable compliant enterprises to gain a competitive advantage, and entrepreneur training should be strengthened to guide them in integrating their business development into the national context.

## 7. CONCLUSION

This article, focusing on "Policy Drivers and Enterprise Market Behavior: Mechanism and Path Analysis," explores the underlying logic of how policies influence enterprise behavior through theoretical analysis and logical analysis. The main conclusions are as follows: First, the relationship between the two is based on clear concepts. Policy drivers are divided into three categories: mandatory, incentive, and guiding. The core is to use tools to change the external environment and profit expectations of enterprises; corporate market behavior covers areas such as production and operation, investment, and innovation, and is goal-oriented, dynamically adaptable, and rationally decision-making. The essence of interaction is that the government guides enterprises to meet development goals. Second, the core mechanism contains three synergistic levels. Signal transmission uses policy signals to reduce the uncertainty of corporate decision-making; resource allocation adjusts corporate resource constraints; and constraints and incentives clarify the boundaries of behavioral benefits and costs. The three are the foundation, core, and guarantee, respectively. Third, the transmission path presents a "direct-indirect" complementary feature. The direct path achieves behavioral adaptation through administrative regulation, legal constraints, and direct incentives, and is authoritative; the indirect path relies on the market environmentOptimization, industry ecosystem reshaping, and strengthening of social supervision guide adjustments, which are conductive and together form a complete transmission network. Fourth, the driving effect is constrained by two

factors. The scientific nature, stability, and synergy of the policy lay the foundation, while the scale, governance structure, and risk appetite of the enterprise determine the response capacity, resulting in heterogeneous effects. Fifth, optimization needs to be carried out from three dimensions: policy formulation strengthens research and tool adaptation, implementation ensures stability and strengthens coordination, and enterprises improve their policy interpretation capabilities and self-construction. This study is mainly based on theoretical analysis and lacks empirical testing. It does not explore the differences between different economic systems and development stages. In the future, it can be combined with industry evidence to analyze situational differences to provide accurate reference. The interaction between the two is dynamic and complex. Only by grasping the logic of the role, optimizing policies, and improving the response capacity of enterprises can we achieve a win-win situation and promote the healthy operation of the market economy.

## REFERENCES


[1] Wang Xueyuan, Guo Shuang. Two-stage evolution model and effect analysis of enterprise digital technology diffusion driven by “market + policy” dual-wheel drive [J]. Systems Engineering, 2025, 43(03):126-139.

[2] Li C, Liu J, Wang L. Research on the influence mechanism of public green behavior guiding policy effect: from the perspective of policy synergy system [J]. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 2025, 27(6): 12703-12744.

[3] Li H, Gao X, Zhang X, et al. The impacts of China’s sustainable financing policy on environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) performance [J]. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 2025: 1-34.

[4] Yu Xiao, Su Ming. Research on improving the supply chain efficiency of manufacturing enterprises driven by data factor policy [J/OL]. Journal of Xi'an University of Finance and Economics, 1-12 [2025-09-18]. https://doi.org/10.19331/j.cnki.jxufe.20250624.001.

[5] Wang Huahua, Zhang Hongyang. Research on the operating mechanism of "agglomeration-divergence" of production factors driven by industrial policy - from the perspective of social trust [J]. Lingnan Journal, 2024, (04): 58-71. DOI: 10.13977/j.cnki.lnxk.2024.04.007.

[6] Erradi A. Policy-driven framework for manageable and adaptive service-oriented processes [D]. UNSW Sydney, 2008.