WEP Warwick Evans Publishing

International Journal of Global Economics and Management

ISSN: 3005-9690 (Print), ISSN: 3005-8090 (Online) | Volume 10, Number 5, Year 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v10n5.04
Journal homepage: https://ijgem.org

Practical Pathways and Challenges of Energy Law and Social Equity

Wenwen Yue *

School of Economics and Management, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu, China
*Corresponding Author: Wenwen Yue

ABSTRACT

Energy is a fundamental condition for modern economic activity, technological progress, and social life. Its development affects not only productivity and industrial transformation but also the distribution of opportunities, risks, and welfare among different regions and social groups. In the process of energy production, distribution, and consumption, problems such as energy poverty, unequal access to clean energy, uneven participation in decision-making, and unequal exposure to environmental burdens remain prominent. These problems make social equity an essential objective of energy law and energy governance. Based on energy justice theory and social equity theory, this paper analyzes the relationship between legal frameworks, energy management, and social equity. It discusses international and domestic energy governance frameworks, examines practical pathways for reducing energy poverty, improving public participation, and allocating environmental responsibility, and identifies challenges related to regional applicability, market coordination, policy implementation, and regulatory effectiveness. The study argues that a fairer energy system requires more precise subsidy policies, stronger infrastructure support for disadvantaged regions, better coordination between market mechanisms and public-interest objectives, and more transparent and participatory regulatory arrangements. The paper provides theoretical support and practical suggestions for promoting social equity in energy transition and for building a more inclusive, low-carbon, and sustainable energy governance system.

KEYWORDS

Energy law; Social equity; Energy justice; Energy transition; Policy recommendations

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Research Background

Energy is a basic prerequisite for human survival and social development. The exploitation, conversion, distribution, and consumption of energy have supported industrial growth, improved living standards, and accelerated technological modernization. At the same time, the energy sector also shapes the distribution of social benefits and risks. Unequal access to electricity, clean fuels, and affordable energy services may reinforce poverty, restrict educational and employment opportunities, and deepen regional disparities. In the context of global energy transition and the pursuit of low-carbon development, the question of how to protect equal energy rights has become an increasingly important topic in both legal research and public policy [1].

Energy poverty is one of the most direct manifestations of inequity in the energy field. Households that cannot afford adequate energy services often experience poorer health, lower quality of life, and weaker resilience to climate and economic shocks. In many developing regions and remote areas,

unstable electricity supply and limited access to clean cooking fuels continue to constrain basic welfare. Energy poverty also has a cumulative effect: when households must spend a disproportionate share of income on energy, they have fewer resources for education, health care, and productive investment. Therefore, energy poverty is not merely a technical supply problem; it is a distributional and legal issue related to fundamental social rights.

Environmental impacts arising from energy production and consumption further complicate the relationship between energy development and social equity. Air pollution, carbon emissions, ecological degradation, and water-resource pressures do not affect all groups equally. Low-income communities and marginalized regions may face greater exposure to pollution while enjoying fewer benefits from energy-intensive development. In this sense, climate governance and pollution control are closely connected with the fair allocation of environmental responsibilities and benefits. A legal framework for energy transition should therefore not only promote efficiency and security but also reduce unequal environmental burdens [2].

1.2. Research Significance

This paper explores how energy law and energy management can be used to promote social equity. Theoretically, it links energy justice, social equity, and legal governance, thereby enriching research on the normative goals of energy transition. Practically, it discusses how subsidy design, infrastructure investment, public participation, and regulatory enforcement can be improved to protect vulnerable groups and reduce energy inequality. Such analysis is meaningful for policymakers, energy enterprises, and social organizations that seek to balance energy security, low-carbon development, and inclusive growth [3].

1.3. Research Purpose and Structure

The purpose of this paper is to analyze the practical pathways and institutional challenges of promoting social equity through energy law. It focuses on three core issues: reducing energy poverty, expanding participation in energy decision-making, and distributing environmental responsibility more fairly. The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the theoretical foundation. Section 3 reviews energy legal and institutional frameworks. Section 4 discusses practical pathways for promoting social equity. Section 5 analyzes major challenges. Section 6 proposes policy recommendations. Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

2.1. Energy Justice Theory

Energy justice is a multidimensional concept that emphasizes fairness in energy access, distribution, recognition, decision-making, and environmental responsibility. Its core concern is whether all members of society can obtain safe, reliable, affordable, and sustainable energy services. From this perspective, energy policy should not be evaluated only by aggregate supply capacity or market efficiency; it should also be assessed by the extent to which it protects vulnerable groups and reduces unjust energy burdens. In the context of energy transition, energy justice requires that the costs and benefits of low-carbon transformation be shared fairly across regions, industries, and social groups [4].

Energy justice also stresses procedural fairness. Energy projects and policies often involve complex trade-offs among economic growth, environmental protection, technological risk, and community interests. If affected groups lack access to information or channels for participation, energy decisions may reproduce existing inequalities. Procedural justice therefore requires openness, transparency, and

meaningful participation. It also requires that the particular needs of low-income households, rural residents, elderly people, and other vulnerable groups be explicitly considered in policy design.

In addition, energy justice includes recognition justice. Different groups may experience energy transition in different ways. For example, residents of resource-dependent regions may face employment pressure during the decline of traditional energy industries, while households in remote areas may face high costs in accessing clean energy infrastructure. Recognition justice requires policymakers to acknowledge these differentiated needs rather than applying a uniform policy model to all contexts. This theoretical lens helps explain why energy law must integrate both universal rights and targeted protection mechanisms.

2.2. Social Equity Theory

Social equity theory emphasizes fair distribution of resources, equal access to opportunities, and the protection of basic rights. In the energy field, it requires that all social members, especially disadvantaged groups, be able to access essential energy services at an affordable price and with adequate quality. Energy equity is therefore not limited to the physical availability of energy. It also involves affordability, reliability, environmental quality, information accessibility, and the ability to participate in decisions that affect energy interests [5].

The application of social equity theory to energy governance expands the traditional policy objectives of the energy sector. Traditional energy policy often prioritizes supply security, industrial efficiency, and cost control. These goals remain important, but they are insufficient when energy transition may produce unequal burdens. A socially equitable energy policy should ensure that vulnerable households do not bear excessive costs from price reform, carbon-control policies, or infrastructure gaps. It should also ensure that disadvantaged regions receive adequate support for clean energy development and energy-service improvement.

Together, energy justice theory and social equity theory provide the conceptual basis for this study. Energy justice clarifies the normative dimensions of fairness in energy systems, while social equity theory emphasizes the distributional and institutional mechanisms needed to protect equal rights. These theories support a policy orientation that integrates legal protection, market coordination, public participation, and targeted regulation.

3. ENERGY LAW AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS

3.1. International Energy Frameworks

International energy frameworks include treaties, intergovernmental agreements, organizational resolutions, and cooperative mechanisms concerning energy security, climate change, environmental protection, and sustainable development. They aim to promote international cooperation, reduce resource conflicts, encourage technological innovation, and support cleaner energy systems. In the context of climate governance, international cooperation has become especially important because carbon emissions, energy trade, and environmental risks all extend beyond national borders.

International organizations such as the United Nations and the International Energy Agency provide policy coordination, data support, and normative guidance for national energy governance. Global frameworks encourage countries to improve energy access, expand renewable energy, enhance energy efficiency, and support low-carbon transition. These frameworks also contribute to social equity by emphasizing universal access to modern energy services and by linking energy development with sustainable development goals. For countries at different stages of development, international cooperation can provide finance, technology transfer, and capacity-building support [6].

However, international energy governance also faces distributional tensions. Developed countries usually possess stronger technological capacity and financial resources, while developing countries may face greater pressure to balance economic growth, energy access, and emission reduction. A fair international framework must therefore recognize differentiated responsibilities and development needs. Legal and policy arrangements should support both global decarbonization and the legitimate development rights of countries and communities with weaker energy infrastructure.

3.2. Domestic Energy Frameworks

Domestic energy frameworks consist of laws, policies, strategies, regulations, and administrative measures that govern energy production, energy markets, infrastructure construction, price mechanisms, environmental protection, and energy security. In a domestic context, energy governance needs to coordinate national planning, local implementation, enterprise behavior, and household welfare. The legal system must provide stable rules for market operation while also protecting public-interest objectives such as affordability, safety, environmental quality, and equal access.

With increasing attention to equity in energy transition, domestic frameworks have gradually strengthened support for vulnerable groups and disadvantaged regions. Energy subsidies, rural infrastructure programs, renewable-energy support policies, and poverty-alleviation projects can all help improve energy accessibility and affordability. For example, targeted fiscal support and infrastructure construction can reduce the gap between urban and rural areas, while clean-energy programs can provide more sustainable energy options for remote communities [7].

A sound domestic energy framework should integrate macro-level planning with differentiated local measures. Unified national goals are necessary for energy security and low-carbon transformation, but local conditions vary significantly in resource endowment, industrial structure, fiscal capacity, and household income. Therefore, domestic energy law should provide both general principles and flexible implementation tools. This combination can improve policy legitimacy and strengthen the practical effectiveness of social equity objectives.

4. PRACTICAL PATHWAYS FOR PROMOTING SOCIAL EQUITY IN THE ENERGY FIELD

4.1. Reducing Energy Poverty

Reducing energy poverty is a primary pathway for promoting social equity. Energy poverty occurs when households cannot obtain adequate, affordable, and reliable energy services. It may result from low income, high energy prices, weak infrastructure, inefficient housing, or limited access to clean fuels. A legal and policy framework aimed at social equity should treat basic energy services as an important component of social welfare rather than as a purely commercial commodity [8].

First, governments can provide fiscal support and targeted energy subsidies for low-income households. Such subsidies should be designed with clear eligibility criteria, transparent procedures, and dynamic adjustment mechanisms. If subsidies are too broad, resources may be wasted; if they are too narrow, vulnerable households may be excluded. Therefore, subsidy policy should use household-income data, regional price differences, and energy-consumption patterns to improve targeting accuracy.

Second, governments should strengthen energy infrastructure in poor and remote areas. Grid extension, distributed renewable-energy systems, clean cooking facilities, and rural energy-service stations can all improve access to stable energy. Infrastructure investment has long-term equity effects because it reduces structural disadvantages that cannot be solved by short-term price subsidies alone.

For disadvantaged regions, energy infrastructure is also connected with education, healthcare, digital services, and local economic development.

Third, clean and renewable energy should be made more accessible to low-income groups. Renewable energy can reduce dependence on fossil fuels and improve environmental quality, but the initial investment cost may exclude households with limited capital. Policy instruments such as installation subsidies, low-interest loans, community solar projects, and leasing models can lower the participation threshold. In this way, clean-energy transition can become an inclusive development opportunity rather than a privilege for high-income groups.

4.2. Enhancing Participation in Energy Decision-making

Improving participation in energy decision-making is essential for procedural fairness. Energy projects and policies may affect land use, electricity prices, environmental quality, employment, and public health. If affected groups cannot express their interests, policies may lack legitimacy and may fail to address real social needs. Public participation helps reveal local knowledge, identify hidden risks, and improve the fairness and acceptability of energy decisions [9].

A first measure is to establish formal public participation mechanisms. Governments can use public hearings, consultation meetings, online comment systems, expert panels, and community deliberation to collect views from different stakeholders. These mechanisms should not be symbolic. Participation should occur early enough to influence policy design, and feedback should be disclosed to show how public opinions have been considered.

A second measure is to improve transparency. Energy decisions often involve technical information that is difficult for ordinary citizens to understand. Governments and energy enterprises should disclose policy objectives, decision bases, environmental assessments, cost estimates, and implementation results in accessible language. Transparent information can reduce distrust, prevent unfair decision-making, and enable public supervision.

A third measure is to pay special attention to vulnerable groups. Low-income households, remote communities, elderly people, and residents in heavily polluted areas may face barriers to participation because of limited information, time, education, or legal resources. Dedicated consultation channels, community-based legal assistance, and targeted information services can help these groups participate more effectively. Such arrangements make energy governance more inclusive and responsive.

4.3. Allocating Environmental Responsibilities in Energy Governance

The allocation of environmental responsibility is a bridge between energy equity and ecological sustainability. Energy production and consumption can produce carbon emissions, air pollution, water consumption, and ecological damage. If environmental costs are not properly internalized, enterprises and consumers may enjoy the benefits of energy use while vulnerable communities bear the negative consequences. A fair legal framework should therefore clarify environmental responsibilities and ensure that polluters, beneficiaries, and regulators assume appropriate obligations [10].

One practical measure is to establish clear environmental responsibility rules for energy enterprises. These rules should include emission standards, carbon-control obligations, pollution-prevention requirements, environmental-impact assessments, and liability for ecological damage. Clear responsibility can encourage enterprises to invest in cleaner technologies and reduce the externalization of environmental costs.

Another measure is to strengthen environmental monitoring and enforcement. Regulation is ineffective if standards exist only on paper. Governments should improve monitoring capacity, conduct regular inspections, disclose compliance information, and impose meaningful sanctions for

violations. Strong enforcement can prevent enterprises from meeting only minimum legal requirements while ignoring broader social responsibilities.

A third measure is to support green technology and clean energy. Policy tools such as tax incentives, green finance, renewable-energy quotas, and research grants can encourage enterprises to invest in solar, wind, storage, smart grids, and energy-efficiency technologies. These tools can reduce environmental pollution while creating new opportunities for inclusive development. When combined with equity-oriented subsidy and infrastructure policies, green energy can improve both environmental justice and social welfare.

5. CHALLENGES AND RESPONSES

5.1. Applicability and Equity

A major challenge in promoting social equity through energy law is the tension between general policy rules and diverse local conditions. Regions differ in income level, industrial structure, energy-resource endowment, infrastructure quality, and fiscal capacity. A uniform policy may be formally equal but substantively unequal if it ignores these differences. For example, developed regions may have stronger capacity to adopt renewable energy and energy-efficiency technologies, while poor and remote regions may still struggle with basic supply security.

Uneven policy implementation can further weaken equity goals. Local governments with stronger fiscal resources and regulatory capacity are often better able to implement clean-energy programs, infrastructure projects, and consumer-support policies. In contrast, less-developed regions may lack funds, expertise, and administrative capacity. This may cause the benefits of energy transition to concentrate in regions that are already advantaged. To respond to this challenge, national policy should combine unified standards with differentiated support measures, including fiscal transfers, technical assistance, and region-specific implementation plans.

5.2. Coordination Between Energy Governance and Market Mechanisms

Energy industries are capital-intensive and deeply affected by market incentives. Enterprises must consider profitability, investment risk, and return cycles. However, social equity often requires investment in areas that may have lower immediate returns, such as rural infrastructure, low-income household support, or environmental remediation. This creates a potential conflict between enterprise profit objectives and social responsibility.

Energy price reform also creates a difficult balance. Market-based pricing can improve efficiency and attract investment, but excessive price increases may harm low-income households. Government price controls can protect vulnerable groups, but if prices are kept too low, enterprises may lack incentives to invest in infrastructure and technological innovation. A coordinated approach is needed: basic energy needs can be protected through targeted subsidies and lifeline tariffs, while market signals can guide efficient consumption and investment in other segments.

Market liberalization may also affect clean-energy development. Without adequate policy support, clean-energy enterprises may face disadvantages compared with established fossil-energy industries. High initial costs, grid-connection barriers, and uncertain returns may slow the diffusion of renewable energy. Governments should therefore provide stable policy expectations, fair grid access, green finance, and market rules that recognize environmental benefits.

5.3. Effectiveness of Implementation and Regulation

Even when energy policies contain clear equity goals, implementation and regulation may be insufficient. One problem is unclear regulatory responsibility. If multiple departments share authority

without effective coordination, enforcement gaps may appear. Enterprises may comply only with minimum standards and fail to actively contribute to equity objectives. Clear institutional responsibility and stronger regulatory capacity are therefore essential.

A second problem is coordination between central and local governments. Local governments may face pressure to maintain economic growth, attract investment, or protect local industries. These pressures may weaken enforcement against high-emission enterprises or delay implementation of clean-energy policies. Stronger coordination, performance assessment, and accountability mechanisms are needed to align local implementation with national equity and sustainability goals.

A third problem is limited public awareness and weak complaint channels. Many vulnerable households may not know their energy rights or may lack the capacity to use legal remedies. If affected groups cannot report unfair treatment, price abuse, or service exclusion, legal protections will remain incomplete. Governments should improve legal education, establish convenient complaint platforms, and provide legal aid for energy-related disputes.

6. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1. Optimizing Policy Applicability and Equity

First, energy subsidies should be made more precise. Governments can use digital governance tools, smart-meter data, household-income information, and regional energy-price data to identify households and communities most in need of support. Dynamic adjustment mechanisms should be established to respond to changes in income, weather, energy prices, and household energy demand. Precision does not mean excessive surveillance; data use must be lawful, transparent, and limited to legitimate policy purposes.

Second, the participation threshold for renewable-energy policies should be lowered. Low-income households often cannot afford distributed photovoltaic systems, efficient appliances, or clean heating equipment. Policy tools such as low-interest loans, installment payments, installation subsidies, and community-owned energy projects can help these households participate in clean-energy transition. This approach distributes the benefits of transition more broadly.

Third, infrastructure investment in poor and remote areas should be strengthened. Energy equity depends on reliable physical systems. Governments should prioritize rural grids, distributed renewable-energy projects, clean cooking systems, storage facilities, and digital energy-management platforms in disadvantaged regions. Such investment can narrow urban-rural and interregional gaps while improving long-term development capacity.

6.2. Coordinating Market Mechanisms with Social Equity

Market regulation should be strengthened to prevent monopolistic behavior and unfair competition. Energy markets involve essential public services, so market power may directly affect household welfare and industrial development. Regulatory authorities should improve price transparency, strengthen anti-monopoly enforcement, and prevent discriminatory access to infrastructure.

A regional compensation mechanism should also be established. Less-developed regions often need additional support to build energy infrastructure and adopt clean technologies. Central fiscal transfers, special energy funds, tax incentives, and public-private partnership models can be used to support regional energy development. Compensation mechanisms should be performance-based and equity-oriented, ensuring that resources are directed to areas with genuine needs.

In addition, diversified energy investment should be encouraged. Supporting small and medium-sized energy enterprises, community energy organizations, and distributed energy projects can improve

competition and innovation. Diversified investment can also reduce dependence on a few large enterprises and increase the flexibility of local energy systems.

6.3. Strengthening Implementation and Regulatory Mechanisms

A relatively independent and authoritative energy regulatory institution can improve policy implementation. Such an institution should have clear authority, sufficient resources, professional expertise, and the power to disclose market information and enforce legal responsibilities. Regular reports on energy equity, price transparency, service quality, and environmental compliance can increase public trust.

Public participation and supervision should be institutionalized. Governments can establish energy equity complaint platforms, public consultation procedures, information-disclosure systems, and community supervision mechanisms. Social organizations, academic institutions, and local communities should be encouraged to participate in monitoring policy implementation and evaluating social impacts.

Digital technologies can also improve regulatory efficiency. Big data, blockchain, smart grids, and digital platforms can help monitor energy transactions, identify abnormal prices, trace subsidy distribution, and evaluate policy outcomes. However, digital governance must be combined with privacy protection, data security, and transparent procedures. Technology should serve fairness rather than create new forms of exclusion.

7. CONCLUSION

Energy law is an important institutional instrument for promoting social equity in the process of energy development and energy transition. This paper has analyzed the relationship between energy governance and social equity from the perspectives of energy justice and social equity theory. It shows that energy equity requires not only sufficient energy supply but also affordable access, inclusive participation, fair distribution of environmental responsibility, and effective legal protection for vulnerable groups.

The paper identifies three major practical pathways: reducing energy poverty, enhancing participation in energy decision-making, and allocating environmental responsibilities fairly. It also points out that the implementation of these pathways faces challenges related to regional differences, market incentives, regulatory capacity, and public participation. To address these challenges, governments should optimize subsidy policies, strengthen infrastructure support for disadvantaged regions, coordinate market mechanisms with social equity objectives, establish regional compensation mechanisms, and improve enforcement through independent regulation, public supervision, and digital governance.

In the long run, a fair energy system should integrate legal norms, policy tools, market mechanisms, and social participation. Only by protecting the rights and interests of vulnerable groups can energy transition become both low-carbon and inclusive. The findings of this paper provide reference for improving energy law, advancing energy justice, and building a more equitable and sustainable energy future.

REFERENCES

[1] Zhang Y., Wang Z. M. "Adjustment of the purposes and value choices of China's energy legislation under the dual-carbon background", Management Review, Vol. 36, No. 6, pp. 253-266, 2024.

[2] Xu A. Z., Wu C. C. "Low-carbon shaping of energy law under the dual-carbon goals", Pollution Control and Environmental Science, Vol. 46, No. 9, pp. 1231-1243, 2024.

[3] Zhang L., Cun Y. L. Z. "Legal safeguards for China's energy transition from the perspective of justice", Journal of Kunming University, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 72-78, 2024.

[4] He K., Zhu X. K., Li F. L. "Gathering carbon into energy: How carbon trading policy alleviates rural energy poverty", Management World, No. 12, pp. 122-144, 2023.

[5] Ning T. Q. "The order value of energy law under the dual-carbon goals and its realization", Journal of Jiangsu University (Social Science Edition), Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 46-60, 2023.

[6] Hu D. S. "Rule-of-law strategies for energy security serving Chinese modernization", Guangxi Social Sciences, No. 1, pp. 1-9, 2023.

[7] Liu Q., Wang Q., Hong Q. Q. "Choices and pathways of energy transition under carbon-neutrality scenarios", China Energy, No. 4, pp. 19-28, 2021.

[8] Zhang Y., Li D. "On the dilemmas and realization of justice in energy transition", Journal of Southwest Minzu University (Humanities and Social Sciences Edition), No. 6, pp. 124-130, 2024.

[9] Zhang Z. M. "The rights structure of energy law and its systematic development", Tribune of Political Science and Law, No. 2, pp. 99-112, 2024.

[10] Ning L. B., Yang X. D. "Legal regulatory pathways for China's energy transition from the perspective of energy justice", Journal of Shandong University (Philosophy and Social Sciences), No. 2, pp. 175-184, 2022.